

SENATE RESOLUTION 283—TO DIRECT THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL TO INTERVENE IN THE NAME OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS AND THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. NORTHWEST AIRLINES CORPORATION, ET AL.

Mr. LOTT (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 283

Whereas, in the case of *United States v. Northwest Airlines Corporation, et al.*, Misc. No. 99-424, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, defendant Northwest Airlines, by seeking to compel the production of documents of the United States General Accounting Office, has placed in issue the privileges of the United States Senate under the Speech or Debate Clause, Art. I, sec. 6, cl. 1, of the United States Constitution;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(c), 706(a), and 713(a) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. 288b(c), 288e(a), and 288i(a), the Senate may direct its counsel to intervene in the name of a committee of the Senate in any legal action in which the powers and responsibilities of Congress under the Constitution are placed in issue: Now, therefore, be it.

*Resolved*, That the Senate Legal Counsel is directed to intervene in the name of the Senate Committee on Appropriations and the Senate Committee on the Judiciary in the case of *United States v. Northwest Airlines Corporation, et al.*, to protect the Senate's privileges under the Speech or Debate Clause of the Constitution.

SENATE RESOLUTION 284—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY, DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, AND LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. GEORGE PATRICK CALHOON

Mr. LOTT (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 284

Whereas, in the case of *United States of America v. George Patrick Calhoon*, Cr. Ho. H-99-111, pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, testimony has been requested from Court Koenning and Patrick McCartney, employees in the office of Senator Phil Gramm;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2), of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of

justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistently with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That Court Koenning, Patrick McCartney, and any other employee of Senator Gramm's office from whom testimony may be required, are authorized to testify and produce documents in the case of *United States of America v. George Patrick Calhoon*, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

SEC. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Court Koenning, Patrick McCartney, and any other employee of Senator Gramm's office in connection with the testimony and document production authorized in section one of this resolution.

# AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001

CRAIG (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 3074

Mr. CRAIG (for himself, Mr. MURKOWSKI, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. DEWINE, and Mr. ABRAHAM) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 2934 proposed by Mr. JOHNSON to the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 101) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 2001 through 2005 and revising the budgetary levels for fiscal year 2000; as follows:

On page 4, line 4, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 5, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 6, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 7, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 8, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 13, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 14, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 15, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 16, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 17, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 22, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 23, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 24, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 4, line 25, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 1, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 7, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 8, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 9, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 10, increase the amount by \$1.  
On page 5, line 11, increase the amount by \$1.

On page 23, line 7, increase the amount by \$500,000,000.

On page 23, line 8, increase the amount by \$430,000,000.

On page 23, line 11, increase the amount by \$500,000,000.

On page 23, line 12, increase the amount by \$485,000,000.

On page 23, line 15, increase the amount by \$500,000,000.

On page 23, line 16, increase the amount by \$497,000,000.

On page 23, line 19, increase the amount by \$500,000,000.

On page 23, line 20, increase the amount by \$498,000,000.

On page 23, line 23, increase the amount by \$500,000,000.

On page 23, line 24, increase the amount by \$498,000,000.

On page 29, line 3, decrease the amount by \$0.

On page 29, line 4, decrease the amount by \$0.

At the end add the following:

Notwithstanding any other provision of this resolution the appropriate levels for function 920 are as follows:

For fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, —\$60,431,000,000.

(B) Outlays, —\$48,461,000,000.

For fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, —\$60,229,000,000.

(B) Outlays, —\$71,796,000,000.

For fiscal year 2003:

(A) New budget authority, —\$500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, —\$5,287,000,000.

For fiscal year 2004:

(A) New budget authority, —\$500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, —\$7,268,000,000.

For fiscal year 2005:

(A) New budget authority, —\$500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, —\$6,570,000,000.

SEC. . SENSE OF SENATE REGARDING MEDICAL CARE FOR VETERANS.

It is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) the provisions of this resolution assume that if the Congressional Budget Office determines there is an on-budget surplus for fiscal year 2001, \$500,000,000 of that surplus will be restored to the programs cut by this amendment; and

(2) the assumptions underlying this resolution assume that none of the offsets made by this amendment will come from defense or veterans and should, to the extent possible, come from administrative functions.

VOINOVICH (AND GREGG) AMENDMENT NO. 3075

Mr. VOINOVICH (for himself and Mr. GREGG) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 2984 proposed by Mr. JEFFORDS to the concurrent resolution, S. Con. Res. 101, supra; as follows:

At the end of the amendment add the following:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this resolution, the following numbers shall apply:

On page 4, line 4, decrease the amount by \$1.

On page 4, line 5, decrease the amount by \$1.

On page 4, line 6, decrease the amount by \$1.

On page 4, line 7, decrease the amount by \$1.

On page 4, line 8, decrease the amount by \$1.

On page 4, line 13, increase the amount by \$1.